1 2	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney
3 4	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division
5 6 7 8 9	TAREK J. HELOU (CABN 218225) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7071 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 Tarek.J.Helou@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
15	
16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CR No. 08-434 SI
17	Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EVALUATION TIME INDEED 18 U.S. C. § 2161
18) EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3161 v.
19	LUIGI FULVIO PALMARES AGUILAR,
20	Defendant.
21)
22	
23	On August 15, 2008, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for their initial
24	status conference in District Court. At that time, the parties requested, and the Court agreed, to
25	exclude all time under the Speedy Trial Act between August 15, 2008 and September 2, 2008
26	because the parties need additional time to continue plea negotiations. The parties represented
27	that granting the continuance was the reasonable time necessary for preparation of defense
28	counsel and continuity of defense counsel and government counsel. 18 U.S.C. §

Case 3:08-cr-00434-SI Document 16 Filed 08/20/2008 Page 2 of 2

1	3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such a
2	continuance outweighed the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18
3	U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).
4	
5	SO STIPULATED:
6	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO
7	United States Attorney
8	
9	DATED: August 15, 2008 /s/ TAREK J. HELOU
10	Assistant United States Attorney
11	
12	DATED: August 15, 2008 /s/ GEOFFREY HANSEN
13	Attorney for Defendant Luigi Fulvio Palmares Aguilar
14	
15	For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that exclusion of time from August 15, 2008
16	through September 2, 2008 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance
17	outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C.
18	§3161(h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant
19	effective preparation of counsel, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C.
20	§3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).
21	
22	SO ORDERED.
23	
24	DATED: THE HONORABLE SUSAN ILLSTON
25	United States District Judge
26	
27	
28	